TOWN OF WEBSTER SOLAR PROJECT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES THURSDAY DECEMBER 22, 2020

1 The Solar Project Subcommittee held a virtual meeting on Thursday December 22, 2020. The meeting 2 was held virtually due to the COVID-19/Coronavirus crisis and in accordance with Governor Sununu's 3 Emergency Order #12, pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04. 4 5 Subcommittee members present: David Hemenway (Chairperson), Craig Fournier, Susan Rauth, Martin 6 Bender, Daniel Nudd, Elizabeth Janeway. 7 8 Also, present: Normandie Blake (Selectwoman), Dana Hadley (Town Administrator), Russell Tatro 9 (Land Use Coordinator), Michael & Laurie Wiltshire, Matt & Katie King, Jeffry & Sandra Gammons. 10 11 Chairperson Hemenway opened the December 22, 2020 Solar Project Subcommittee meeting at 7:05pm. 12 13 The first item on the agenda was new membership 14 15 Chairperson Hemenway stated that there was a change in membership. Susan Roman could not continue 16 participating as the representative for the Conservation Commission and Elizabeth Janeway would be 17 taking her place. 18 19 **MOTION:** David Hemenway 20 To appoint Elizabeth Janeway to the Solar Project Subcommittee 21 **SECOND:** Martin Bender 22 SUSAN RAUTH - YES 23 **CRAIG FOURNIER – YES** 24 **MARTIN BENDER – YES** 25 **DANIEL NUDD - YES** 26 The motion passed 4 to 0 27 28 Chairperson Hemenway asked members of the public currently in attendance if they were interested in 29 joining the Subcommittee. Mr. Wiltshire stated that he was thinking about joining and he wanted to know 30 more about the process. Chairperson Hemenway stated there was no formal application. He would need to 31 express his interest and a motion would be made after review by the subcommittee. 32 33 Chairperson Hemenway moved onto the next item on the agenda, project update. 34 35 Chairperson Hemenway stated the purpose of this section was to provide new information from the public 36 hearing. Unfortunately, the public hearing did not provide any additional information. Olivewood Energy 37 had not provided the maps that had been expected. They stated at the hearing that they were still in the process of completing several studies and the maps would be coming soon. During the hearing 38 39 Olivewood Energy had expressed interest in providing various benefits to the Town to offset project 40 impacts. 41 42 Susan Rauth, Planning Board representative, asked if Olivewood Energy would have more detailed maps 43 by mid-February? Chairperson Hemenway responded that after the public hearing Olivewood Energy had 44 stated they expected to have more details over the next couple of months. 45 46 Mr. Wiltshire asked if most or all the panels would be going in Webster and how much input with 47 Hopkinton have in the process. Chairperson Hemenway responded that most of the project would be 48 primarily in Webster. The only part of the project Hopkinton would be a part of was the joint owned land

1 at the transfer station. He stated that Hopkinton could sign onto the MOU Webster created and had been

in contact with the Hopkinton Select Board. Mr. Wiltshire asked if Webster would have the larger tax
benefit. Chairperson Hemenway responded that the Town would and described the benefits in the current

pilot agreement. He stated this was what the Town had currently and was looking into renegotiating the

- 4 pilot ag 5 pilot.
- 6

Chairperson Hemenway moved onto the next item on the agenda, reports from Boards and Committees.

7 8

9 Chairperson Hemenway stated that he had received feedback from the Planning Board and had sent the

10 comments made by Craig Fournier to the Subcommittee. Craig Fournier, Planning Board representative,

11 stated that he felt that the Fitzwilliam MOU was a good starting place. The Fitzwilliam MOU was

12 comprehensive, and he felt that it needed to be customized to Webster. Ms. Rauth asked if security would

be the responsibility of the Town or Olivewood Energy. Chairperson Hemenway stated that it would

- 14 likely be Olivewood Energy, but the topic could be included in the MOU.
- 15

Mr. Fournier started by reviewing road access. He asked if roads might need to be paved or repaired
before project construction began. Chairperson Hemenway stated that this was something that should be

18 included in the MOU. He thought there should be a clause in the MOU regarding Olivewood Energy

19 fixing damaged roads caused by their construction vehicles. Ms. Rauth commented that a traffic study had

20 been performed during the Copart project and it should be made available to Olivewood Energy. The

21 Subcommittee discussed the traffic impact and agreed that it should be in MOU.

22

23 Mr. Fournier moved onto the subject of run off. He commented that this would likely be one of the

24 primary concerns of residents. Amazingly the land for the proposed project was relatively flat. However,

this did not necessarily mean that there would be no impact. He felt that this was important to the MOU. Chairperson Hemenway commented that this was being considered in the impact studies and would be

27 addressed further once they had detailed maps from Olivewood Energy.

28

Mr. Fournier moved onto the creation of the electrical substation. He asked if the power company would be paying for the substation connection or would Olivewood Energy. Selectman Hemenway stated that it was the power company that put the connection in and then it would be jointly maintained. Mr. Nudd, the local representative from the energy industry, commented that the power company typically put in the

substation and maintained it. Ms. Rauth commented that she had reached out to the Town Administratorof Fitzwilliam and they had commented that the generator at the substation had been a noise problem. She

35 just wanted to make the committee aware of the issue so it could be addressed in the MOU.

36

37 Mr. Fournier moved onto the subject of decommissioning. The primary questing being what the Town

38 like the project land to look like after decommissioning. Chairperson Hemenway gave a couple

39 decommissioning examples such as creating a park or reforestation. Decommissioning would be an

40 important topic would need to be discussed further. Mr. Fournier commented that the life of a typical

41 solar panel is 30 to 40 years and he expected that they would replace the panels and continue the project.

42 However, the Town should still have a decommissioning plan in place. Chairperson Hemenway

commented that it would be up to Olivewood Energy to decide if they would upgrade the project ordecommission.

44

46 Mr. Fournier moved onto the environment as aesthetics of the project. He commented that herbicides and

47 pesticides should not be allowed. Chairperson Hemenway commented that there could be a situation in

48 the future that special exemptions may be needed and asked if the Town should create a process of

- 49 approval under unusual circumstances. He thought the Town should be forward thinking regarding the
- 50 MOU and include the ability to adjust to MOU when special circumstances warranted it. Mr. Nudd

1 commented he thought the process should be approved by the Select Board. The subcommittee discussed

- 2 the potential process and several scenarios. The subcommittee agreed that this Topic would need to be
- 3 discussed further in the future. 4
- 5 Ms. Rauth commented that the section in the sample MOU stating that the company must provide detailed 6 construction plans before any changes to the project were made was a good idea. This was something the 7 Town should include in the MOU. She thought this would be good to make sure that the project was
- 8 adhering to all Town ordinances. She suggested that the Subcommittee also consider what 3rd party 9 studies should be performed and if Olivewood Energy should be paying for them. The Subcommittee
- 10 agreed that this was something that should be taken into consideration.
- 11
- 12 Mr. Fournier moved onto liability insurance and the Subcommittee agreed that Olivewood Energy should 13 be fully responsible for any liability issues.
- 14

15 Mr. Fournier moved onto the subject of conservation easements. This was an unusual situation because

- 16 the project was a mix of privately leased land and Town land. Chairperson Hemenway said typically
- 17 projects like this were done on Town land and this was typically offset via restoration or putting
- 18 comparable land in conservation. The Town could only address the land that Olivewood Energy owned,
- 19 and Town owned land. A possibility could be a one-time payment used to put a property into 20
- conservation or used to offset the costs of a current conservation project. Mr. Fournier commented that
- 21 the use of the payment would have to be decided on by the residents of the Town. Appropriate mitigation 22 would have to be discussed further.
- 23

24 Mr. Fournier moved onto contract review and successor clauses. Chairperson Hemenway commented that 25 Olivewood Energy is a development company, and they created Deer Meadow Solar to maintain the 26 project after development. He felt that the successor clause would be necessary to protect the Towns 27 interest in the event of a sale. Mr. Fournier asked if the MOU could be renegotiated every ten years.

- 28 Chairperson Hemenway thought this would render the current agreement invalid if the Town could not
- 29 come to an agreement with the energy company.
- 30
- 31 Chairperson Hemenway moved onto questions and comments.
- 32

33 Ms. Janeway asked if Webster eclectic users would benefit from this project. She wanted to use the 34 project to lower electric costs for the community. Chairperson Hemenway responded that it would not be 35 possible because the way the project would have to be wired. However, it was possible for a community

36 power agreement. This would allow the Town to purchase power at a different rate through collective

- 37 bargaining. Olivewood Energy was amiable to the possibility. This would be separate from the MOU and 38 SEC process.
- 39

40 Mr. Wiltshire asked what the impact of the project would be regarding properties that were open to

41 recreation. Mr. Fournier responded that Olivewood Energy was still in the planning process and the use of

42 the properties involved would eventually be changed. Chairperson Hemenway commented that the type of

- 43 current use would determine if recreation would still be allowed on the property. He expected that the
- 44 status would apply until construction started.
- 45

46 Chairperson Hemenway moved onto the discussion of the procedures going forward. 47

- 48 Ms. Rauth stated that the Planning Board was reviewing the examples of MOUs provided. They would
- 49 compare the MOUs requirements to the Zoning, Site Plan, and Subdivision regulations. They would
- 50 compare this to the draft submitted by Olivewood Energy. Chairperson Hemenway commented that the

| draft had not been received yet, but Olivewood Energy said they should have it sometime after the new year. Ms. Rauth commented that the site plans submitted by Olivewood Energy would be critical to the |
|--|
| process. The subcommittee expected to have more detailed plans by mid-February. |
| Chairperson Hemenway had not heard anything from Conservation Commission yet. He asked Ms. |
| Janeway to get an update at the next Conservation Commission meeting. |
| |
| Chairperson Hemenway planned to create a summary of what he thought should be included in the MOU based on what was in the MOU samples. He planned to create a document that could be edited by |
| Subcommittee members. This would become the working draft of the MOU. He would make that |
| document available hopefully by the next meeting. |
| |
| Chairperson Hemenway moved onto the next item on the agenda, legal status update. |
| |
| The Select board had chosen Attorney Douglass Patch. He had worked on the Fitzwilliam MOU and |
| formerly sat on the NH SEC. The hiring was contingent on Olivewood Energy paying for the Town's |
| legal expenses. This had not been agreed upon yet with Olivewood Energy, but they should have an |
| answer soon. |
| |
| Chairperson Hemenway moved onto the next item on the agenda, scheduling the next meeting. |
| |
| The Subcommittee agreed to meet again on January 26, 2021 at 7:00pm. |
| |
| |
| MOTION: David Hemenway |
| To adjourn the meeting at 8:46pm |
| SECOND: Daniel Nudd |
| SUSAN RAUTH – YES |
| CRAIG FOURNIER – YES |
| MARTIN BENDER – YES |
| DANIEL NUDD - YES |
| ELIZEBETH JANEWAY – YES |
| The motion passed 5 to 0 |
| |
| The meeting adjourned at 8:46pm |
| These minutes will be approved as written or amended at a future SPSC Meeting. |
| Respectfully, |
| |
| |
| |
| Chairperson David Hemenway |
| |
| Minutes taken by Russell Tatro |
| · |
| |