TOWN OF WEBSTER

PLANNING BOARD

MEETING MINUTES THURSDAY SEPTEMBER 21, 2023

The Planning Board held a meeting on Thursday, September 21, 2023. The meeting was held at the Town Hall in the Grange Hall; 945 Battle Street, Webster, NH 03303.

Planning Board Members present: Chairperson Craig Fournier, Paul King, Adam Mock, and Theresa Finnemore.

Also, present: Select Board Chairperson David Hemenway, Town Administrator Dana Hadley, Land Use Coordinator Russell Tatro, Jeffrey & Cathrin Evans.

Chairperson Fournier opened the meeting at 6:30pm by taking attendance, Member Herrick and Member Youngs were not in attendance. Chairperson Fournier asked Alternate Member Theresa Finnamore to fill in and she agreed she would.

Chairperson Fournier then moved to the first item on the agenda, the Evan's Driveway.

Chairperson Fournier asked Coordinator Tatro to read Driveway Regulation IV Permit Requirements Section B Sub-Section 2a "In the case of a driveway intersecting a State Road, issuance of a State driveway permit shall be regarded as compliance with the Webster requirements for the design of the driveway/street intersection." He interpreted this to mean that if an applicant obtained a State driveway permit this would mean that the intersection with the Road was compliant with the Town's regulations including the apron. Member Mock added that to his knowledge the Town had the right to regulate above the requirements of the State. Chairperson Fournier responded that he understood that, however that wasn't the way the regulation read. Mr. Evans commented that in the 2011 Planning Board minutes discussing Blackberry Lane the Board stated that their primary concern at the time had been the right of way.

Member King wanted to give the Board a bit of history for the reason of the apron. He then gave an example of two residents living in Town. One living on a Town Road and the Other Living on a State Road. He said it wouldn't be fair to require the resident on the Town Road to have an apron and the one on the State Road to not have the apron. Mr. Evens responded that he didn't see how this was relevant. Mr. King responded that it is relevant because Boards in the past wanted to ensure that the regulations were fair to everyone.

Mr. Evan's then listed off a few driveways that didn't have paved aprons on State Roads and he felt like the ordinance wasn't being applied evenly. Mr. King stated that he couldn't answer for what happened prior to him being on the Board, but he has always enforced the apron requirement to the best of his ability. Member Mock added that all this was in the Town's current regulations. Chairperson Fournier pointed out that Coordinator Tatro just read the relevant section. Member Mock responded that there was more to the regulations than just that on section. Chairperson Fournier agreed there were more to the Driveway Regulations, but which regulations took precedence over the others. In his opinion this section was poorly written.

Select Board Chairperson Hemenway commented that the regulations had been in the last five years. Mr. Evens pointed out that the section they were discussing had been part of the regulation since 2007. Member Finnimore stated she understated Mr. Evans frustration, and she was in favor of reviewing the regulations. She felt that there should be a difference between State Roads and Town Roads, but there currently was not.

 Mr. Evans commented that his permit had said nothing about the apron requirement. Mr. King responded that it is on the Town application and the requirement is in the regulations. Mr. Evans responded that the regulations stated appeared to state he didn't need an apron because he had the State's approval. Mr. King responded it was all in how you interpreted the regulations. He interpreted them one way and Mr. Evans interpreted them a different way. Mr. Evans stated that the whole point of the apron was to protect the Town's roads from being damaged and his driveway was not on a Town road. Mr. King commented that

the regulations that the Town's regulations also apply to local State roads.

 Chairperson Fournier asked if there was any further discussion. Member Finnemore asked what would be involved in changing the regulations. Chairperson Fournier responded that we couldn't do that tonight and they would need to hold a public hearing. Select Board Chairperson Hemenway responded that the Board should also look at the scope of the driveway regulations. He felt this was another section that may point out another contradiction in the regulation.

Chairperson Fournier asked Member King to go over the reason for the apron. Mr. King responded that the primary reason for the apron is to protect the Town's road from damage caused by water runoff. Mr. Evans reminded the Board that they were not talking about a Town road and the State did not share the same concern. Chairperson Fournier read the section on the apron requirements in the driveway regulation and his interpretation led him to believe that it was only intended to apply to Town roads. Member Mock still thought that Town rules superseded State ruled in the local municipality. Mr. Evans agreed that the Town could regulate the interior grade of the driveway. Member Mock still felt the Town should also have say on whether an apron was required. Chairperson Fournier stated that he was ready to make a motion.

MOTION: Chairperson Fournier

To accept the wording of Driveway Regulation IV Permit Requirements Section B Subsection 2a to mean that Mr. Evans driveway is in compliance with the Town's Driveway regulations.

SECOND: Member Finnimore

CRAIG FOURNIER – YES

30 PAUL KING – NO

31 ADAM MOCK – ABSTAIN

32 THERESA FINNEMORE – YES

The motion passed 2 to 1 with one abstention.

Member King felt the Board had "opened up a can of worms" with this decision. Chairperson Fournier responded that he hoped to change the regulations to better clarify the apron requirements on both Town and State roads. The Board discussed the requirements briefly and will continue their discussion at their next meeting in October.

Chairperson Fournier moved to the next item on the agenda, the Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

Administrator Hadley informed the Board that the Town Office did not have any new projects for the CIP and would just be continuing any ongoing projects. He then updated the Board on the status of several projects that had not been completed yet such as the server, painting, and regular maintenance.

Coordinator Tatro then informed the Board that the Police Department had placed a request to purchase a new cruiser to replace. The new cruiser would replace the Departments oldest cruiser a 2016.

48 Administrator Hadley informed the Board that they had purchased two 2021 cruisers in one year a few

years ago due to a car accident. Select Board Chairperson Hemenway asked how many cruisers the Department had. Administrator Hadley responded three 2021 cruisers and one 2016 cruiser.

- 1 Chairperson Fournier asked how much money the Department was requesting be set aside each year.
- 2 Administrator Hadley responded they are requested that \$22,000.00 be put in the funds each year. Select
- 3 Board Chairperson Hemenway felt the Board should consider that the Town wanted to avoid purchasing
- 4 multiple cruisers in the same year. The other thing the Board should consider is that they only had two
- 5 full time police officers and several part timers. The last thing they should consider is that the 2016
- 6 cruiser is primarily used for outside details. The Board discussed the cruiser and they agreed to support
- 7 the purchase. However, they still needed further details on the amount the department wanted to put in the
- 8 trust funds each year.

9

- 10 Coordinator Tatro went over the schedule for the upcoming CIP discussions. He had scheduled the
- Highway and Fire Department discussions for the meeting in October but was still waiting for Hopkinton
- 12 Town Administrator Neil Cass to inform him when the meeting would be with the Hopkinton CIP. The
- Board then held a lengthy discussion on topics they intended to discuss with the Town of Hopkinton
- regarding the operation of the Transfer Station.

15 16

Chairperson Fournier moved to the next item on the agenda, the approval of the draft meeting minutes.

17

- 18 **MOTION:** Chairperson Fournier
- 19 To approve the meeting minutes from 8/17/21 as written
- 20 **SECOND:** Member Finnimore
- 21 CRAIG FOURNIER YES
- 22 PAUL KING -YES
- 23 ADAM MOCK YES
- 24 THERESA FINNEMORE YES
- 25 The motion passed 4 to 0.

26

28

27 Chairperson Fournier moved to the next item on the agenda, Public Comment.

Member King commented that he planned this to be his last term on the Board and that he would not be able to attend the next few meetings. He hoped that the Board would be willing to make him an alternate and move Alternate Member Fennimore to a full member. The Board thanked Member King for all his years of service and agreed to his request.

33

- 34 **MOTION:** Chairperson Fournier
- 35 To move Member King to an Alternate Member
- 36 **SECOND:** Member Finnimore
- 37 CRAIG FOURNIER YES
- 38 PAUL KING -YES
- 39 ADAM MOCK YES
- 40 THERESA FINNEMORE YES
- 41 The motion passed 4 to 0.

42

- 43 **MOTION:** Chairperson Fournier
- 44 To move Alternate Member Finnemore to a Full Member
- 45 **SECOND:** Member King
- 46 **CRAIG FOURNIER YES**
- 47 PAUL KING -YES
- 48 ADAM MOCK YES
- 49 THERESA FINNEMORE YES
- 50 The motion passed 4 to 0.

51

1 2 Chairperson Fournier stated that he would also like to go over the driveway regulations and zoning amendments at the next meeting. He asked that they all be prepared to discuss the topic at the next 3 meeting. 4 5 **MOTION:** Chairperson Fournier 6 To adjourn the meeting at 8:36pm 7 **SECOND:** Member King 8 **CRAIG FOURNIER – YES** 9 PAUL KING-YES 10 ADAM MOCK - YES 11 THERESA FINNEMORE – YES 12 The motion passed 4 to 0. 13 14 15 Respectfully, 16 17 18 19 20 Minutes taken by Russell Tatro 21